note from Mr Adams, suggesting a possibility of his address, pending a sale at the fair, if made into a pamphlet from the broken columns after print. in Standard. I consulted Garrison, who advised against it, & this coincides with my own judgment. The business at the last board meeting, was a report from the Sub-Committee upon the office &c. In our shortness of funds, Mr Philbrick had given Wm Nell, the young colored man who is Sub-Lieutenant there, a month's warning. His salary is 350 per ann. I believe, or thereabouts, & H. W. W. did not deny that he could do all the work with the exception of carrying round the papers, which Friend Philbrick declared that, if he

There is nothing in this letter of necessity, as merchants say. No matter whether you ever read it or no, after the first sentence. P.S. Since writing the above I open my letter in behalf of the Western & Central N York people. The annual meeting of the W. N.Y. Soc. is at Rochester on the 12 & 13, & of the Central N.Y. at Utica on the 19 & 20th. If the Hutchinsons are in N.Y. will you ascertain whether they will be present at those meetings where they are greatly wanted. If so, advertise in the Standard.

could not get done for $1. per week he would carry himself or bear the expense himself. But alas— reduction of salary or dismissal of the supernumerary officers always does more harm to the other interests of the cause by occasioning misunderstandings, than the reduction of expense does good to the pecuniary interests. The Cold people were ready to re-organize about it, (of course only the less sensible & most selfish) & Elizur Wright made a great handle of it, we heard, in getting votes for Liberty party in Belknap St. The matter was left undecided. I went for Rufin Nell, for various reasons, too tedious to recapitulate. The next thing was an appeal from Dow & Jackson printers, who, having done all the printing necessary to bring about the Second Advent & the world obstinately refusing to unkindle, had determined to become booksellers

for order of the committee. This I am directed to get done
by Abby Kelley

& applied to Philbrick for a lease of half of our office at 25 Cornhill. We pay 300 or thereabouts – I never can remember figures. & to get a lease which we could underlet, were obliged to pay more. They offered us for the Counter side – the best 2 thirds – such a rent that we had only 50 per annum to pay for all our privileges, which were to include the sign-room the full half of the show boards at the door, & every other square of the window. (These I articled for being all I conceived to be of any great consequence) (It amused me to read James's letters, at the time of the ascertaining of our respective functions, in which he seemed to suppose that we wanted the nomination of the under clerk at Nassau St, for the purpose of carrying on a book-business.) a mere spot for head quarters is all we want – length for the sole of a vigorous hand's foot (excuse haste.) Well – Dow & Jackson came in with all their quills & ledgers, inkstands & red-tape – Advertised in the Lib. signed their lease – sold next to nothing the first two days – packed up & were off – said twas a

had situation for business, thought themselves
basely treated by Philbrick that he would not
let them off & appealed to the board, &
the board voted to refer it to such arbitrators
as the parties concerned should agree upon. Garrison
~~voted~~ recommended to leave it entirely to them (Dow & Jackson's)
decision. I, for once, stood by brother Philbrick.
'The business of the world is conducted on rascally
principles' argued Garrison. 'Ay,' said I 'but ~~it~~
who does the Lord receive to his holy hill?"
"We must do as we would be done by'
said Garrison." "I would scorn to wish in my
heart that any body should do by me as Dow
& Jackson are asking ~~me~~ to do by them."
"But we may rely upon their generosity."
I cant rely at all upon the man that
fails to keep his word." "But its a bad bar-
gain for them." "Had it been so for us, should
we have been justified in breaking it?" "But
the people who were to have furnished them
with stock have disappointed them." "does their
disappointment of us, justify us in throwing back

Ms. A.4.1 v.11